North Dakota State Board of Higher Education  
June 21, 2023, Audit Committee Meeting Minutes

The State Board of Higher Education Audit Committee met June 21st at 11:00 a.m. CT., via Teams.

Chair Hacker called the meeting to order at 11:00 a.m. CT.

Audit Committee Members Participating:
Mr. Nick Hacker (Chair)
Mr. Tim Mihalick
Mr. Jeffry Volk

NDUS/Other Staff Participating:
Ms. Terry Meyer
Ms. Dina Cashman
Mr. Jerry Rostad
Mr. Chris Pieske
Mr. David Krebsbach
Ms. Robin Putnam
Mr. Darin King
Mr. Dustin Walcker
Ms. Meredith Larson, Legal Counsel

Others participating:
President Darling, Lloyd Halvorson, LRSC
Dean Simone, DCB
President Easton, DSU
President Van Horn, MaSU
President Shirley, MiSU
President Flanigan, NDSCS
Bruce Bollinger, Charlene Glur, Karin Hegstad, Lisa Ripplinger, Chris Wilson, NDSU
President Armacost, UND
Ms. Erica Buchholz, VCSU
Ms. Krista Lambrecht, WSC

1. **Agenda**
   Volk moved, Mihalick seconded, to approve the agenda, as presented.

   Volk, Mihalick, and Hacker voted yes.

2. **Meeting Minutes**
   Volk moved, Mihalick seconded, to approve the agenda and May 17, meeting minutes, as presented.

   Volk, Mihalick, and Hacker voted yes.
3. **FY 24 NDUS internal audit plan**
Ms. Dina Cashman proposed the 2024 NDUS Internal Audit plan; she explained the plan presented today has additional details. Some additional details include the risk assessment process, the audit plan overview, and topics that were considered but not included in the audit plan. The plan also identifies completed audit engagements by the institutions since 2017, when the internal audit department was reformed. Ms. Cashman stated that she will continue to provide the committee with quarterly audit reports.

Mihalick moved, Volk seconded, to recommend approval of the FY24 NDUS Internal Audit Plan, as presented.

Volk, Mihalick, and Hacker voted yes.

4. **NDUS Internal Audit Purpose and Mission**
Ms. Dina Cashman stated that the NDUS Internal Audit Purpose and Mission is periodically reviewed by the Committee and the last review and approval was in 2021. She explained that it’s a separate document from the Internal Audit Charter (SBHE Policy 306.2). The committee reviewed and had no concerns and/or changes.

Volk moved, Mihalick seconded, to adopt the NDUS Internal Audit Purpose and Mission, as presented.

Volk, Mihalick, and Hacker voted yes.

5. **2023 Annual Independence Confirmations**
   a. Internal Audit
   b. Compliance

Chief Internal Auditor, Dina Cashman and Chief Compliance Officer, Chris Pieske presented their 2023 Independent Confirmations. SBHE Policy 306, subsection 9C, requires confirmation of the organizational and operational independence of internal audit and Compliance functions. Mr. Volk requested clarification on the budget/salary component for both internal audit and compliance. The internal audit department budget is approved by the SBHE Audit Committee; the current process for the internal audit salaries is to be reviewed, discussed, and approved by the Chancellor and the Chair of the SBHE Audit Committee, based on available funds, market data, and performance. The compliance department budget, including salaries is a component within the NDUS system office budget that the SBHE approves.

Mihalick moved, Volk seconded, to recommend approval of the NDUS Internal Audit 2023 Independent Confirmation, item 5a, as presented.

Volk, Mihalick, and Hacker voted yes.

Volk moved, Mihalick seconded, to recommend approval of the Compliance 2023 Independent Confirmations for item 5b, as presented.

Volk, Mihalick, and Hacker voted yes.
6. **Executive Session** (11:20 a.m. CT)

Volk moved, Mihalick seconded, to enter Executive Session to consider (1) Updates to draft NDUS Internal Audit, dated May 9, 2023, LRSC Admissions Process (2) any response from the institution/entities to the draft report and associated recommendations. The executive session shall be limited to members of the Committee, the Chancellor and staff, Board counsel, and invited campus officials.

The legal authority for closing this portion of the meeting is North Dakota Century Code sections 44-04-18(9) and 44-04-19.2.

Volk, Mihalick, and Hacker voted yes.

Present during entire executive session:
- Mr. Nick Hacker, SBHE
- Mr. Tim Mihalick, SBHE
- Mr. Jeffry Volk, SBHE
- Mr. Chris Pieske, NDUS
- Ms. Dina Cashman, NDUS
- Ms. Kristie Hetzler, NDUS
- Ms. Terry Meyer, NDUS
- Mr. David Krebsbach, NDUS
- Ms. Lisa Johnson, NDUS
- President Darling, LRSC
- Mr. Lloyd Halvorson, LRSC
- Ms. Meredith Larson, AG

Re-convened in Open Session (11:40 a.m. CT)

7. **Internal Audit 2025 Biennium Budgets**

Ms. Dina Cashman explained that the 7. Internal Audit 2025 Biennium Budgets are broken into two, fiscal year 2024 and 2025. She noted that there is no campus assessment listed as the previous version did. budget as it was presented before that. The NDUS internal audit department is now fully funded by general fund appropriations.

Volk moved, Mihalick seconded, to recommend approval of the Internal Audit 2025 Biennium Budget, as presented.

Volk, Mihalick, and Hacker voted yes.

8. **SBHE Policy 302.2, Audit Committee Charter**

Ms. Dina Cashman stated that SBHE Policy 302.2 has been reviewed and discussed at previous committee meetings; the amendments requested by Board members have requested have been incorporated.

Mihalick moved, Volk seconded, to recommend approval of SBHE Policy 302.2 as presented.

Volk, Mihalick, and Hacker voted yes.

Ms. Dina Cashman explained part A of SBHE Policy 306.2, as it relates to the system office internal auditors. The amendment from the previous version is in section 8b, the Institutional Chief Executive Officers (Presidents) were added to the communication component. The charter was created based on the 2017 model.

Mihalick moved, Volk seconded, to recommend approval of SBHE Policy 306.2, as presented.

Volk, Mihalick, and Hacker voted yes.

Reports/Updates/Discussion
10. SBHE 306.2, Internal Audit Charter (Part B, NDUS, UND)
UND President Armacost did not have concerns with draft language in regard to the independence component of internal auditors at the institutions. He noted the communication and interaction between the institution’s internal auditors, the Chief Internal Audit Executive, and the SBHE Audit Committee is important to ensure consistency and that internal audit standards are being met.

NDSU Chief of Staff, Mr. Chris Wilson, stated that NDSU is largely in agreement with the current draft, however, requested additional time to work through a few components, such as the SBHE Audit Committee being involved at an institutional level for staffing/termination. He further noted that if the SBHE Audit Committee was involved in personnel issues, it would be identified in an open meeting. He suggested an alternative option could be to replace the SBHE Audit Committee with the Chancellor. The committee had no concerns with having the Chancellor involved vs. the committee, however, they noted it wouldn’t be appropriate for a President to have full authority to terminate their internal auditor with no oversight on specific circumstances. Mr. Wilson also stated the timing to revise charters is problematic due to the review and potential changes/updates to the national internal audit standards from 2017.

After discussion, the committee agreed to further discuss at their next meeting.

The meeting adjourned at 12:15 p.m. CT.

Approved August 16, 2023.
State Board of Higher Education
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June 21, 2023

Mr. Nick Hacker, Audit Committee Chair
North Dakota State Board of Higher Education – Audit Committee

We are pleased to submit the annual internal audit plan for the North Dakota University System.

Please note that given the rapidly changing environment, the FY24 Audit Plan and respective risks will be continuously monitored and discussed at the quarterly meetings of the North Dakota State Board of Higher Education (SBHE) Audit Committee. When necessary, this audit plan will be revised.

We appreciate the support that the SBHE Audit Committee and Chancellor offer us in the performance of our responsibilities.

Respectfully submitted,

Dina Cashman, CPA, CIA, CRMA
Chief Audit Executive, NDUS

CC: North Dakota State Board of Higher Education
Dr. Mark Hagerott, Chancellor, NDUS
Mr. David Krebsbach, Vice Chancellor of Administrative Affairs/CFO, NDUS
Mr. Darin King, Vice Chancellor of IT, Chief Information Officer, NDUS
Mr. Jerry Rostad, Vice Chancellor of Strategy and Strategic Engagement, NDUS
Ms. Lisa Johnson, Vice Chancellor of Academic and Student Affairs, NDUS
Mrs. Terry Meyer, Chief of Staff, NDUS
Mr. Christopher Pieske, Chief Compliance Officer, NDUS
Introduction

Purpose
The purpose of the internal audit of NDUS is to provide independent, objective assurance and consulting services designed to add value and improve the operations of the North Dakota State Board of Higher Education (SBHE) and the North Dakota University System (NDUS).

Mission
The mission of internal audit is to enhance and protect organizational value by providing risk-based and objective assurance, advice, and insight.

Risk Assessment
The audit plan outlines the internal audit activities that the NDUS Internal Audit will perform during FY24 in accordance with the Institute of Internal Auditor (IIA) Standards. The plan is prepared using a risk-based approach to ensure that areas and activities with the greatest risk to the NDUS are identified for consideration to be audited. This includes risks known and risks that are communicated by stakeholders via risk assessment, discussions, Enterprise Risk Management (ERM), and surveys.

NDUS Internal Audit held interviews with senior leadership and management in key areas at Dakota College at Bottineau, Dickinson State University, Minot State University, and Williston State College and reviewed risks, trends in higher education, and potential audits and consulting engagements. Risks were ranked based on probability and impact ratings, past audit coverage, and other interrelated risks. Additional focus was placed on IT risks by conducting a separate IT risk assessment to identify and agree upon critical services and functions that could have a significant effect on NDUS. Furthermore, the FY24 Audit plan includes other required engagements and reserve time for unanticipated items.

Risks that are not able to be addressed due to limited audit resources must be communicated to senior management and the Audit Committee. Planned audits that are not able to be conducted during the year are communicated to the Audit Committee and reevaluated in the next year's annual audit plan. This is important since factors that led to the identification of risks may change often, especially in chaotic times.

Topics considered but not selected:
- Compliance with the Uniform Guidance, sub-recipient monitoring
- System-wide Digital Accessibility
- FERPA Compliance
- IT Regulatory Governance & Compliance
- System-wide Donated leave
## FY24 NDUS Internal Audit Plan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY24 General description of Audit Engagements or Follow-up</th>
<th>Est. Hours</th>
<th>% of Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Follow-Up Reviews and Prior Not Implemented (PNI)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSFT Generic accounts</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LRSC Admissions</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCSU Bookstore (PNI)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDSCS-Admission process (PNI)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WSC-I9 (PNI)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MaSU - Background Check (PNI)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Projected Follow-up Reviews and PNI Hours</strong></td>
<td><strong>180</strong></td>
<td><strong>4%</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Follow-Up Audits</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System-wide Immunization</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System-wide PSFT Supplier's Changes and Updates</td>
<td>200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System-wide NDUS Transfer Credits</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Projected Follow-up Audit Hours</strong></td>
<td><strong>400</strong></td>
<td><strong>7%</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>System-wide Audit Engagements</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System-wide Procurement of large capital projects to the ND Institutions audit</td>
<td>400</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System-wide Clery Act compliance audit</td>
<td>400</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System-wide Faculty Overload analysis for classes 100-200 level</td>
<td>200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System-wide College Credit in High school audit</td>
<td>400</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System-wide Expenses Reimbursement audit</td>
<td>400</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Projected System-wide Audit Hours</strong></td>
<td><strong>1800</strong></td>
<td><strong>33%</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IT Audit Engagements</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Protection (Data Management, Inventory, Access Control, Retention, Disposal)</td>
<td>300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Access Control Management (Granting, Revoking, MFA)</td>
<td>300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Projected IT Audit Hours</strong></td>
<td><strong>600</strong></td>
<td><strong>11%</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Specific Entity Audit Engagements</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSU-Consulting services for the procurement processes above $10,000</td>
<td>160</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WSC-Consulting services for the Pcard reconciliation process</td>
<td>160</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MiSU-Conflict of interest consulting services</td>
<td>160</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCB-Consulting services surrounding the payroll process</td>
<td>160</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Projected New Audit Area Hours</strong></td>
<td><strong>640</strong></td>
<td><strong>12%</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Data-Driven Regular Reporting</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuous Review (vendor, P-card, access, new/other)</td>
<td>200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advanced Filtering (data analytics, PowerBI, other)</td>
<td>200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Data Driven Regular Reporting Hours</strong></td>
<td><strong>400</strong></td>
<td><strong>7%</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other Audit Responsibilities</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enterprise Risk Management Assessment</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDUS Risk Assessment, including IT</td>
<td>300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audit Planning</td>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fraud Risk Assessment</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Audit Plan Overview

The plan is based on one full-time equivalent (FTE) internal auditor, one FTE senior internal auditor, and one chief audit executive who provides leadership and oversight for the audits and projects for the year representing 6240 hours. The FTE estimate assumes successful recruitment to fill a vacant auditor position. The audit plan takes into consideration the professional training that is required for staff to enhance existing skills and prepare for new areas of auditing.

The following table represents the planned use of those hours:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hours</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Per FTE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Internal Audit projects</td>
<td>5044</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leave time</td>
<td>796</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administration and other</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional Development</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>6240</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Leave time represents ten holidays, annual leave, and sick leave. 1.2 weeks of sick leave is the average for the internal audit and is used in the table.

Administration and other includes the time for council, board meetings, department meetings, and training for new staff.

Professional Development time is planned to meet or exceed the annual continuing professional education requirements of the various professional organizations of which internal auditors are members, professional certification, and as required by the Institute of Internal Auditor (IIA).
Appendix A

Completed NDUS Internal audit engagements at institutions that do not employ internal audit staff.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NDUS Institution</th>
<th>FY17</th>
<th>FY18</th>
<th>FY19</th>
<th>FY20</th>
<th>FY21</th>
<th>FY22</th>
<th>FY23</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BSC</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCB</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSU</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LRSC</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MaSU</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MiSU</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDSU</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDSCS</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UND</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCSU</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WSC</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDUSO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTS</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The requirement to have one overall or one specific engagement per year at each institution and the NDUS system office has been updated in 2019. The current requirement is to conduct system-wide audits as well as institution-specific audits, including the NDUSO and CTS, for those institutions that do not directly employ internal audit staff.
# Appendix B

Completed NDUS Internal audit engagements by the institution’s primary category.

SW - system-wide audit  
SWLA - system-wide limited analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Institution Primary Category</th>
<th>FY17</th>
<th>FY18</th>
<th>FY19</th>
<th>FY20</th>
<th>FY21</th>
<th>FY22</th>
<th>FY23</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Academic Affairs</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common Course Numbering (SWLA)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Athletics</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Athletic camp (MiSU)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Auxiliary Services</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bookstore (VCSU)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Business continuity &amp; emergency planning</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Compliance</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grant Compliance (BSC, MiSU, VCSU)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Title IX (SW)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immunization (SW)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Facilities Management</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Finance</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchase card-micro audit (BSC, DCB, LRSC, MaSU, MiSU, NDSCS, NDSU, UND, VCSU)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash and deposits (NDUSO)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concession (BSC)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asset Inventory (DCB, DSU, LRSC, WSC)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unrelated Business Income (SWLA)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial Aid (NDUSO)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consulting services for contracts (BSC)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consulting services for payroll (MaSU)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Governance</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Human resources</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR and Payroll (DCB, DSU, WSC)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR and Payroll (MaSU, VCSU)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Operations</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Physical Safety</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Life Safety (NDSCS)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Life Safety (NDSU)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Background Checks (SW)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety and Security against Active Shooters (SW)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Research</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Students</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admissions (MiSU)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admissions (NDSCS)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfer students (SW)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admissions (LRSC)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Technology</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deprovisioning (CTS)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General accounts (CTS)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vendor master file (SW)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PeopleSoft Supplier’s Updates and Changes (SW)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Approved May 27, 2021

The purpose of this document is to serve as an additional document to the North Dakota University System Internal Audit Charter and Audit Committee policy.

Purpose

The purpose of the internal audit activity of NDUS is to provide independent, objective assurance and consulting services designed to add value and improve the operations of the North Dakota State Board of Higher Education (SBHE) and the North Dakota University System (NDUS).

Mission

The mission of internal audit is to enhance and protect organizational value by providing risk-based and objective assurance, advice, and insight.
June 21, 2023

Chair Hacker and Audit Committee members:

SBHE Policy 306.2 and IIA Standard 1110 require that the Chief Audit Executive (CAE) confirm to the Board, at least annually, the organizational independence of the internal audit activity.

Organizational independence is effectively achieved when the CAE reports functionally to the Board. Examples of “functional reporting to the Board” include:

- Approving the internal audit charter.
- Approving the risk-based internal audit plan.
- Approving the internal audit budget and resource plan.
- Receiving communications from the CAE on the internal audit activity’s performance relative to its plan and other matters.
- Making decisions regarding the appointment and removal of the CAE.
- Approving the remuneration of the CAE.
- Making appropriate inquiries of management and the CAE to determine whether there are inappropriate scope or resource limitations.

The Board and Audit Committee have assumed the above responsibilities in SBHE Policy 306.2.

I am confirming to the board through the audit committee the organizational independence of the internal audit activity.

The Audit Committee’s acceptance of this document constitutes confirmation to the Board of the NDUS Internal Audit’s organizational independence in compliance with SBHE Policy 306.2 and IIA Standard 1110.

Respectfully submitted,

Dina Cashman

Dina Cashman, CPA, CIA, CRMA
Chief Audit Executive, NDUS
June 21, 2023

Chair Hacker and Audit Committee members:

SBHE Policy 306(9)(c) requires that the Chief Compliance Officer confirm to the Audit Committee, at least annually, the organizational and operational independence of the Compliance Office.

Organizational independence is effectively achieved when the Compliance Office reports functionally to the Board. Examples of “functional reporting to the Board” include:

- Reviewing and approving the compliance program and plan on an annual basis;
- Approving the Office budget and resource plan;
- Receiving communications from the Officer on the compliance office’s performance relative to its plan and other matters;
- Making appropriate inquiries to determine whether there is inappropriate scope or resource limitations;
- Making recommendations to the SBHE to take actions based on reports received from the Officer; and

The above activities are requirements of the Board and Audit Committee per Policy 306.

I am confirming to the Audit Committee the organizational and operational independence of the Office of Compliance and Ethics. The Audit Committee’s acceptance of this document constitutes confirmation of the Compliance Office’s organizational independence in compliance with SBHE Policy 306.

Respectfully submitted,

Christopher S. Pieske
NDUS Chief Compliance Officer
## 2023-25 Internal Audit

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>FY2024 Budget</th>
<th>FY2025 Budget</th>
<th>2023-25 Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Salary &amp; Fringe Benefits*</td>
<td>$ 418,557</td>
<td>$ 432,906</td>
<td>$ 851,463</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel &amp; Prof. Development</td>
<td>9,500</td>
<td>9,500</td>
<td>19,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operations</td>
<td>4,385</td>
<td>4,385</td>
<td>8,770</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>432,442</td>
<td>446,791</td>
<td>879,233</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
State Board of Higher Education
Policy Summary

Title: Policy 302.2 Audit Committee

Proposed action: Approve/deny the revised SBHE Audit Committee Policy.

Background information: The policy has been revised to align policy with practice, remove items that are no longer completed by the Audit Committee, and comply with the SBHE policy drafting manual. This summary includes the policy with the tracked changes and a clean version of the revised policy.

Financial matters: N/A

Legal/policy matters: N/A

Academic matters: N/A

Review Process:
- Legal Review 6/5/2023 Recommends moving forward

Chancellor’s recommendation:

Committee Review:
- Audit 6/21/2023
- SBHE 6/27/2023 1st Read

Contact information:
Dina Cashman, Chief Audit Executive, 701-224-2552, dina.cashman@ndus.edu
Policy: 302.2 Audit Committee
Effective: May 29, 2020

1. The SBHE shall establish an audit committee to fulfill its oversight responsibilities for the financial reporting process, the system of internal control, the audit process, and the NDUS process for monitoring compliance with laws, policies, regulations and the code of conduct. The audit committee has authority to conduct or authorize investigations into any matters within its scope of responsibility, including:

   a. Appoint, compensate, and oversee the work of any registered public accounting firm employed by the organization;
   
   b. Resolve any disagreements between management and the auditor regarding financial reporting;
   
   c. Pre-approve all auditing and non-audit services;
   
   d. Retain independent counsel and request appointment of legal counsel by the attorney general as a special assistant attorney general, accountants, or others to advise the audit committee or assist in the conduct of an investigation;
   
   e. Seek any information it requires from employees, who are directed to cooperate with the committee’s requests, or external parties; and
   
   f. Meet with officers, external auditors, or outside counsel, as necessary.

2. Each member shall be free of any relationship that would interfere with their exercise of independent judgment or give the appearance of a conflict of interests. The majority of the members must be knowledgeable about financial matters.

2.3. Non-compliance with any of the following, within a timely manner, will result in the audit committee recommending to the SBHE that the respective institution pay for the services of an audit by an external, independent firm, selected by the audit committee, the chief audit executive and the compliance officer, or increase the amount that is assessed by the NDUS to the institution for audit and compliance functions:

   a. Any requests or requirements of any NDUS internal audit staff;
   
   b. Any requests or requirements of the state auditor’s office; or
c. Documented implementation plans.

1. The committee shall consist of three voting members of the SBHE and the Chancellor shall serve, ex officio, as a non-voting member of the committee. Each committee member shall be both independent and financially literate. The SBHE president-Chair will appoint committee members as well as the committee chair by June 30th of each year to serve one-year terms starting July 1st. The SBHE Chair-president may also appoint additional members to serve, ex officio, as non-voting members of the committee. If a vacancy on the committee occurs before June 30th, the SBHE Chair-president shall appoint a voting member of the SBHE to fill the vacancy no later than the next regular meeting of the SBHE after the vacancy occurs.

2. The committee shall set a meeting schedule for the year at the committee’s first meeting after July 1st. Meetings shall comply with all applicable laws, including the necessary posting of notice, the preparation of agendas in advance of meetings, and the recording of minutes for each meeting.

3. The committee shall carry out the following responsibilities:
   a. Financial statements:
      i. Review significant accounting and reporting issues, including complex or unusual transactions and highly judgmental areas, and recent professional and regulatory pronouncements, and understand their impact on the financial statements.
      ii. Review with management and the external auditors the results of an audit, including any difficulties encountered.
      iii. Review the annual financial statements, and consider whether they are complete, consistent with information known to committee members, and reflect appropriate accounting principles.
      iv. Review other sections of the annual report and related regulatory filings before release and consider the accuracy and completeness of the information.
      v. Review with management and the external auditors all matters required to be communicated to the committee under generally accepted auditing standards.
   
   b. Internal control:

   x. Understand how management develops interim financial information, and the nature and extent of internal and external auditor involvement.
   
   vi. Review interim financial reports with management and the external auditors and consider whether they are complete and consistent with the information known to committee members.
i. Consider the effectiveness of the NDUS internal control system, including information technology security and control.

ii. Understand the scope of internal and external auditors' review of internal control over financial reporting, and obtain reports on significant findings and recommendations, with management's responses.

iii. The NDUS chief audit executive (executive) reports functionally to the Audit committee and administratively to the NDUS Chancellor.

iv. The NDUS compliance officer (officer), reports directly to the Vice Chancellor for Administrative Affairs, with an indirect reporting line to the Audit committee.

v. The Audit committee shall ensure that there are no unjustified restrictions or limitations in the course of performing audit engagements, including restrictions on the scope of work and access to required information.

vi. Approve the decisions regarding and review and concur in the appointment and removal, replacement or dismissal of the executive or the officer.

vii. Obtain regular updates from the executive and the officer, management, the SBHE, and the attorney general regarding relevant matters.

viii. Review with the executive or the officer the internal audit or compliance budget, resource plans, activities and organizational structure.

ix. At least once per year, review the performance of the executive and the officer and recommend the annual compensation and salary adjustment.

ed. Internal audit:

i. Approve an internal audit charter.

ii. Approve the risk-based annual audit plan and all major changes to the plan. Review the internal audit activity performance relative to its plan.

iii. Review the effectiveness of the internal audit function, including conformance with the following publications of the institute of internal auditors: the definition of internal auditing, code of ethics, and the international standards for professional practice of internal auditing.

- i. Consider the effectiveness of the NDUS internal control system, including information technology security and control.
- ii. Understand the scope of internal and external auditors' review of internal control over financial reporting, and obtain reports on significant findings and recommendations, with management's responses.
- iii. The NDUS chief audit executive (executive) reports functionally to the Audit committee and administratively to the NDUS Chancellor.
- iv. The NDUS compliance officer (officer), reports directly to the Vice Chancellor for Administrative Affairs, with an indirect reporting line to the Audit committee.
- v. The Audit committee shall ensure that there are no unjustified restrictions or limitations in the course of performing audit engagements, including restrictions on the scope of work and access to required information.
- vi. Approve the decisions regarding and review and concur in the appointment and removal, replacement or dismissal of the executive or the officer.
- vii. Obtain regular updates from the executive and the officer, management, the SBHE, and the attorney general regarding relevant matters.
- viii. Review with the executive or the officer the internal audit or compliance budget, resource plans, activities and organizational structure.
- ix. At least once per year, review the performance of the executive and the officer and recommend the annual compensation and salary adjustment.
- ed. Internal audit:
  - i. Approve an internal audit charter.
  - ii. Approve the risk-based annual audit plan and all major changes to the plan. Review the internal audit activity performance relative to its plan.
  - iii. Review the effectiveness of the internal audit function, including conformance with the following publications of the institute of internal auditors: the definition of internal auditing, code of ethics, and the international standards for professional practice of internal auditing.
d.e. External audit:
   i. Review the external auditors' proposed audit scope and approach, including coordination of audit effort with internal audit.
   
   ii. Review the performance of the external auditors, as applicable.
   
   iii. Meet with the external auditors to discuss relevant matters, as needed.

e.f. Compliance:
   i. Review the effectiveness of the system for monitoring compliance with laws and regulations and the results of the officer’s or management’s investigation and follow-up of any instances of noncompliance, including review of disciplinary action.
   
   ii. Review the findings of any examinations by the officer, regulatory agencies, and any auditor observations.
   
   iii. Review the process for communicating the code of conduct to SBHE members and NDUS personnel, and for monitoring compliance therewith.

f.g. Reporting responsibilities:
   i. Regularly report to the SBHE about committee activities, issues, and related recommendations.
   
   ii. Provide an open avenue of communication between internal audit, the external audit, and the SBHE.
   
   iii. Review any other reports the system or institutions issue that relate to committee responsibilities.

g.h. Other responsibilities:
   i. Perform other activities related to this policy as requested by the SBHE.
   
   ii. Institute and oversee special investigations as needed. Efforts should be made to keep the SBHE informed of such special investigations within the confines of open meetings laws as permitted by law.
   
   iii. Review and assess the adequacy of the committee policy annually, requesting SBHE approval for proposed changes, and ensure appropriate disclosure as may be required by law, policy or regulation.
   
   iv. Confirm annually that all responsibilities outlined in this policy have been carried out.
   
   v. Evaluate the committee and individual member performance on a regular basis.
History: New policy, SBHE minutes, February 27, 2014; Amended, SBHE minutes, June 17, 2016;
Amended, SBHE minutes, June 28, 2018; Amended, SBHE minutes, May 29, 2020.
1. The SBHE shall establish an audit committee to fulfill its oversight responsibilities for the financial reporting process, the system of internal control, the audit process, and the NDUS process for monitoring compliance with laws, policies, regulations and the code of conduct. The audit committee has authority to conduct or authorize investigations into any matters within its scope of responsibility, including:
   g. Appoint, compensate, and oversee the work of any registered public accounting firm employed by the organization;
   h. Resolve any disagreements between management and the auditor regarding financial reporting
   i. Pre-approve all auditing and non-audit services;
   j. Retain independent counsel and request appointment of legal counsel by the attorney general as a special assistant attorney general, accountants, or others to advise the audit committee or assist in the conduct of an investigation;
   k. Seek any information it requires from employees, who are directed to cooperate with the committee's requests, or external parties; and
   l. Meet with officers, external auditors, or outside counsel, as necessary.

2. Each member shall be free of any relationship that would interfere with their exercise of independent judgment or give the appearance of a conflict of interests. The majority of the members must be knowledgeable about financial matters.

3. Non-compliance with any of the following, within a timely manner, may result in the audit committee recommending to the SBHE that the respective institution pay for the services of an audit by an external, independent firm, selected by the audit committee, the chief audit executive and the compliance officer, or increase the amount that is assessed by the NDUS to the institution for audit and compliance functions:
   d. Any requests or requirements of any NDUS internal audit staff;
   e. Any requests or requirements of the state auditor’s office; or
   f. Documented implementation plans.

4. The committee shall consist of three voting members of the SBHE and the Chancellor shall serve, ex officio, as a non-voting member of the committee. The SBHE Chair will appoint committee members as well as the committee chair by June thirtieth of each year to serve one-year terms starting July first. The SBHE Chair may also appoint additional members to serve, ex officio, as non-voting members of the committee. If a vacancy on the committee occurs before
June thirtieth, the SBHE Chair president shall appoint a voting member of the SBHE to fill the vacancy no later than the next regular meeting of the SBHE after the vacancy occurs. The committee shall set a meeting schedule for the year at the committee's first meeting after July first. Meetings shall comply with all applicable laws, including the necessary posting of notice, the preparation of agendas in advance of meetings, and the recording of minutes for each meeting.

5. The committee shall carry out the following responsibilities:
   a. Financial statements:
      i. Review significant accounting and reporting issues, including complex or unusual transactions and highly judgmental areas, and recent professional and regulatory pronouncements, and understand their impact on the financial statements.
      ii. Review with management and the external auditors the results of an audit, including any difficulties encountered.
      iii. Review other sections of the annual report and related regulatory filings before release and consider the accuracy and completeness of the information.
      iv. Review with management and the external auditors all matters required to be communicated to the committee under generally accepted auditing standards.
   b. Internal control:
      i. Consider the effectiveness of the NDUS internal control system, including information technology security and control.
      ii. Understand the scope of internal and external auditors' review of internal control over financial reporting, and obtain reports on significant findings and recommendations, with management's responses.
   c. Organization:
      i. The NDUS chief audit executive (executive) reports functionally to the Audit committee and administratively to the NDUS Chancellor.
      ii. The NDUS compliance officer (officer) reports directly to the Vice Chancellor for Administrative Affairs, with an indirect reporting line to the Audit committee.
      iii. The Audit committee shall ensure that there are no unjustified restrictions or limitations in the course of performing audit engagements, including restrictions on the scope of work and access to required information.
      iv. Approve the decisions regarding the appointment and removal of the executive or the officer.
      v. Obtain regular updates from the executive and the officer, management, the SBHE, and the attorney general regarding relevant matters.
      vi. Review with the executive or the officer the internal audit or compliance budget, resource plans, activities, and organizational structure.
      vii. At least once per year, review the performance of the executive and the officer and recommend the annual compensation and salary adjustment.
   d. Internal audit:
      i. Approve an internal audit charter.
ii. Approve the risk-based annual audit plan and all major changes to the plan. Review the internal audit activity performance relative to its plan.

iii. Review the effectiveness of the internal audit function, including conformance with the following publications of the institute of internal auditors: the definition of internal auditing, code of ethics, and the international standards for professional practice of internal auditing.

c. External audit:
   i. Review the external auditors' proposed audit scope and approach, including coordination of audit effort with internal audit.
   ii. Review the performance of the external auditors, as applicable.
   iii. Meet with the external auditors to discuss relevant matters, as needed.

d. Compliance:
   i. Review the effectiveness of the system for monitoring compliance with laws and regulations and the results of the officer’s or management's investigation and follow-up of any instances of noncompliance, including review of disciplinary action.
   ii. Review the findings of any examinations by the officer, regulatory agencies, and any auditor observations.
   iii. Review the process for communicating the code of conduct to SBHE members and NDUS personnel, and for monitoring compliance therewith.

e. Reporting responsibilities:
   i. Regularly report to the SBHE about committee activities, issues, and related recommendations.
   ii. Provide an open avenue of communication between internal audit, the external audit, and the SBHE.
   iii. Review any other reports the system or institutions issue that relate to committee responsibilities.

f. Other responsibilities:
   i. Perform other activities related to this policy as requested by the SBHE.
   ii. Institute and oversee special investigations as needed. Efforts should be made to keep the SBHE informed of such special investigations as permitted by law.
   iii. Review and assess the adequacy of the committee policy annually, requesting SBHE approval for proposed changes, and ensure appropriate disclosure as may be required by law, policy or regulation.
   iv. Confirm annually that all responsibilities outlined in this policy have been carried out.

History: New policy, SBHE minutes, February 27, 2014; Amended, SBHE minutes, June 17, 2016; Amended, SBHE minutes, June 28, 2018; Amended, SBHE minutes, May 29, 2020.
Title: Policy 306.2 Internal Audit Charter

Proposed action: Approve/deny the revised SBHE Internal Audit Charter.

Background information: The policy has been revised to align policy with practice, comply with Institute of Internal Auditors standards, and separate the NDUS internal audit function’s charter from the UND and NDSU internal audit functions’ charter. Part A of the policy will apply to the NDUS internal audit function. Part B will apply to the UND and NDSU internal audit functions. Approval of Part B will be sought at a future meeting. This summary includes the policy with the tracked changes and a clean version of the revised policy.

Financial matters: N/A

Legal/policy matters: N/A

Academic matters: N/A

Review Process:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Legal</td>
<td>6/8/2023</td>
<td>Recommends moving forward</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Chancellor’s recommendation:

Committee Review:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Committee</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Audit</td>
<td>6/21/2023</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBHE</td>
<td>6/27/2023</td>
<td>1st Read</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Contact information:

Dina Cashman, Chief Audit Executive, 701-224-2552, dina.cashman@ndus.edu
North Dakota University System Internal Audit Charter.

A. This Charter applies to the North Dakota University System Internal Audit.

1. Introduction

Internal Auditing is an independent and objective assurance and consulting activity that is guided by a philosophy of adding value to improve the operations of the North Dakota University System (NDUS). It assists NDUS in accomplishing its objectives by bringing a systematic and disciplined approach to evaluate and improve the effectiveness of the organization’s governance, risk management, and internal control.

2. Role and Obligation:

The internal audit activity is established by the State Board of Higher Education (Board). The internal audit activity’s responsibilities are defined by the Board and the Audit Committee as part of their oversight role.

The internal audit activity of NDUS is responsible for conducting system-wide audits as well as institution specific audits, including the NDUSO and CTS, for those institutions that do not directly employ internal audit staff. Each research institution shall directly employ its own internal audit staff.

2. Standards for the Professional Practice of Internal Auditing:

3. Professionalism:

a. The internal audit activity will govern itself by adherence to The Institute of Internal Auditors' mandatory guidance including the Definition of Internal Auditing, the Code of Ethics, and the International Standards for the Professional Practice of Internal Auditing (Standards). This mandatory guidance constitutes principles of the fundamental requirements for the professional practice of internal auditing and for evaluating the effectiveness of the internal audit activity’s performance.

b. The Institute of Internal Auditors' Practice Advisories, Practice Guides, and Position Papers will also be adhered to as applicable to guide operations. In addition, the internal audit activity will adhere to relevant Board policies and procedures and the internal audit activity's standard operating procedures manual.

3. Organization
The internal audit activity of NDUS will consist of the NDUS chief audit executive (CAE) and any internal audit staff or consultants hired by the system office.

The NDUS Internal Audit CAE will report functionally to the Audit Committee and administratively (i.e. day to day operations) to the NDUS Chancellor.

NDSU and UND directly employ their own internal audit staff. The NDSU and UND internal auditors follow the North Dakota Institutions’ internal audit charter.

4. Authority:
The internal audit activity, with strict accountability for confidentiality and safeguarding records and information, is authorized full, free, and unrestricted access to any and all NDUS (and affiliated organizations) records, physical properties, and personnel pertinent to carrying out any engagement. All NDUS (and affiliated organizations) employees are requested to assist the internal audit activity in fulfilling its roles and responsibilities. The internal audit activity will also have free and unrestricted access to the Board and Audit Committee.

a. ORGANIZATION:

The internal audit activity of NDUS will consist of the NDUS chief audit executive (CAE) and any internal audit staff or consultants hired by the system office or any of the institutions which comprise the NDUS system.

a. The CAE will report functionally to the Audit Committee and administratively (i.e. day to day operations) to the NDUS Chancellor.

b. Institutionally based internal audit activity will report functionally and administratively to the institutional chief executive officer and if warranted, to the Audit Committee.

c. In the event that institutionally based internal audit activity is performed at other than a home institution, said internal audit activity will report functionally to the institutional chief executive officer of the institution where the work is being performed. Administrative reporting is unchanged.

d. All internal audit activity, regardless of location, have an indirect report to the CAE and the Audit Committee.

e. The Board and Audit Committee will:
   i. Review and approve the internal audit charter.
   ii. Approve the risk based internal audit plan.
   iii. Approve the internal audit budget and resource plan for the CAE.
   iv. Receive communications from the CAE on the NDUS internal audit staffactivity’s performance relative to its plan and other matters.
   v. Approve decisions regarding the appointment and removal of the CAE.
   vi. Recommend and approve the remuneration of the CAE.
vii. Make appropriate inquiries of management and the CAE to determine whether there is inappropriate scope or resource limitations.

viii. Make recommendations to the SBHE to take actions based on reports received from the CAE; and

ix. Review disputes regarding investigations, reports, or recommendations issued by the CAE.

b. The CAE will communicate and interact directly with the Board and Audit Committee, including in executive sessions and between Board meetings as appropriate.

5. Independence and Objectivity

INDEPENDENCE AND OBJECTIVITY:

The CAE shall ensure that the internal audit activity will remain free from all conditions that threaten the ability of internal auditors to carry out their responsibilities in an independent and unbiased manner, including matters of audit selection, scope, procedures, frequency, timing, and report content. If the CAE determines that independence or objectivity may be impaired in fact or appearance, the details of any such impairment will be disclosed to the Chancellor and the Audit Committee.

a. The NDUS Internal Audit shall maintain an unbiased mental attitude that allows them to perform engagements objectively and in such a manner that they believe in their work product, that no quality compromises are made, and that they do not subordinate their judgment on audit matters to others.

c. The NDUS Internal auditors will have no direct operational responsibility or authority over any of the activities audited. Accordingly, they will not implement internal controls, develop procedures, install systems, prepare records, or engage in any other activity that may impair their judgement, including:

i. Assessing specific operations for which they had responsibility within the previous year;

ii. Performing any operational duties for the SBHE, the NDUS, or the institutions;

iii. Initiating or approving transactions external to the NDUS internal audit activity;

iv. Directing the activities of any NDUS or institutional employee not employed by the NDUS Internal Audit, except to the extent that such employees have been appropriately assigned to auditing teams or to otherwise assist internal auditors.

a. would normally be subject to review. However, Internal Audit may be consulted when new systems or procedures are designed to ensure they adequately address internal controls.

If the CAE is assigned duties or responsibilities that fall outside of this Charter, safeguards will be established to avoid potential impairment to independence or objectivity.

d.
Internal auditors will exhibit the highest level of professional objectivity in gathering, evaluating, and communicating information about the activity or process being examined. Internal auditors will make a balanced assessment of all the relevant circumstances and not be unduly influenced by their own interests or by others in forming judgments. Internal auditors shall:

i. Disclose any actual or apparent impairment of independence or objectivity to the appropriate party.
ii. Exhibit professional objectivity in gathering, evaluating, and communicating information about the activity or process being examined.
iii. Make balanced assessments of all available and relevant facts and circumstances.
iv. Take necessary precautions to avoid being unduly influenced by personal interests or by others in forming judgments.

The CAE shall disclose to the Audit Committee any interference and related implications in determining the scope of internal auditing, performing work, and/or communicating results.

The CAE will confirm to the Board, at least annually, the organizational independence of the internal audit activity.

6. **Scope of Internal Audit Authority**

   a. **Assurance Services.** The scope of internal auditing encompasses, but is not limited to, the examination and evaluation of evidence for the purpose of providing independent assessments to the SBHE and management on the adequacy and effectiveness of the organization's governance, risk management, and internal control processes for NDUS, as well as the quality of performance in carrying out assigned responsibilities to achieve the organization's stated goals and objectives. This includes evaluating whether:

      i. Risk exposure relating to achievement of the organization’s strategic objectives are appropriately identified and managed.
      ii. Whether the actions of SBHE and NDUS officers, directors, employees, and contractors are in compliance with SBHE policies, NDUS procedures, and applicable laws, regulations, and governance standards;
      iii. Whether the results of operations or programs are consistent with established goals and objectives;
      iv. The adequacy and effectiveness of the organization's governance, risk management, and internal controls, as well as the quality of performance in carrying out assigned responsibilities to achieve the organization’s stated goals and objectives;
      v. Whether operations or programs are being carried out effectively and efficiently;
      vi. The processes and systems that enable compliance with policies, procedures, laws, and regulations;
vii. Whether information and the means used to identify, measure, analyze, classify, and report such information are reliable and have integrity.

viii. Whether resources and assets are acquired economically, used efficiently, and protected adequately.

b. The CAE shall report periodically to the NDUS Chancellor and the Audit Committee regarding:

i. NDUS Internal Audit’s purpose, authority, and responsibility;

ii. NDUS Internal Audit’s audit plan and performance relative to the audit plan;

iii. NDUS Internal Audit’s conformance with The Institute of Internal Audit’s Code of Ethics and Standards, and action plans to address any significant conformance issues.

iv. Significant risk exposures and control issues, including fraud risks, governance issues, and other matters requiring the attention of, or requested by, the SBHE.

v. Results of audit engagements or other activities;

vi. Resource requirements; or

vii. Any response to risk by management that may be high risk.

c. The CAE shall coordinate the activities of and may rely on the work of other internal and external assurance and consulting service providers as engaged on consultation with the SBHE.

d. The NDUS Internal Audit may identify opportunities to improve the efficiency of governance, risk management, and control processes may be identified during audit engagements. To the extent appropriate, such opportunities shall be communicated to the appropriate level of management.

e. Consulting Services: NDUS Internal Audit provides consulting services in an advisory capacity, and are generally performed at the specific request of the Chancellor or NDUS institutional chief executive officers. The nature and scope of the consulting engagement are subject to agreement with management. Consulting may range from formal engagements with defined scopes and objectives to advisory activities such as providing informal guidance in response to general inquiries. When performing consulting services the internal auditor should maintain objectivity and not assume management responsibility.

b. Evaluating the reliability and integrity of information and the means used to identify, measure, classify, and report such information.

e. Evaluating the systems established to ensure compliance with those policies, plans, procedures, laws, and regulations which could have a significant impact on the organization.

d. Evaluating the means of safeguarding assets and, as appropriate, verifying the existence of such assets.
e. Evaluating the effectiveness and efficiency with which resources are employed.

f. Evaluating operations or programs to ascertain whether results are consistent with established objectives and goals and whether the operations or programs are being carried out as planned.

g. Follow-up, as needed, on the implementation of planned corrective action by auditees on issued internal audit recommendations.

h. Monitoring and evaluating governance processes.

i. Monitoring and evaluating the effectiveness of the organization's risk management processes.

j. Evaluating the quality of performance of external auditors and the degree of coordination with internal audit.

k. Performing consulting and advisory services related to governance, risk management and internal control as appropriate for the organization.

l. Reporting periodically on the internal audit activity's purpose, authority, responsibility, and performance relative to its plan.

m. Reporting significant risk exposures and control issues, including fraud risks, governance issues, and other matters needed or requested by the Board.

n. Evaluating specific operations at the request of the Board or Chancellor, or institutional chief executive officers, as appropriate.

7. Responsibility:

INTERNAL AUDIT PLAN:

a. The NDUS internal audit staff is responsible for conducting system-wide audits, including the NDUS system office and NDUS Core Technology Services (CTS). It will also conduct internal audits and consulting services for institutions that do not directly employ internal audit staff. The two research institutions, UND and NDSU, shall employ their own internal audit staff. Those auditors shall have primary responsibility for conducting audit activities on their employing campus.

b. The CAE has the responsibility to:

i. Submit, at least annually, to the Chancellor and the Audit Committee a risk-based internal audit plan for review and approval;

ii. Communicate to the Chancellor and Audit Committee the impact of resource limitations on the internal audit plan;

iii. Review and adjust the internal audit plan, in consultation with the Audit Committee as necessary, in response to changes in NDUS's business, risks, operations, programs, systems, and controls;

iv. Communicate to the Chancellor and the Audit Committee any significant interim changes to the internal audit plan.
v. Ensure that each engagement of the internal audit plan is executed, including:
the establishment of objectives and scope, the assignment of appropriate and
adequately supervised resources, the documentation of work programs and
testing results, and the communication of engagement results with applicable
conclusions and recommendations to appropriate parties.
vi. Follow up on engagement findings and corrective actions, and reports
periodically to the Chancellor and the Audit Committee any corrective actions
or recommendations not effectively implemented;
vii. Ensure the NDUS Internal Audit applies and upholds the principles of integrity,
objectivity, confidentiality, and competency;
viii. Maintain a professional audit staff that collectively possesses or obtains the
knowledge, skills, and other competencies needed to meet the requirements of
the this Charter;
ix. Remain current on trends and emerging issues that could impact NDUS and
communicate the same to the Chancellor and Audit Committee as appropriate;
x. Consider adopting emerging trends and successful practices in internal auditing
xi. Establish and ensure adherence to SBHE policies and NDUS procedures
designed to guide the NDUS Internal Audit;
xii. Ensure that the NDUS Internal Audit adheres to relevant SBHE policies and
NDUS procedures. Any conflicts between this Charter and SBHE policies and
NDUS procedures shall be resolved in consultation with the Audit Committee
and the Chancellor;
xiii. Review and update the NDUS Internal Audit Charter at least every three years,
or more if changes are warranted, and provide the updated Internal Audit
Charter to the Audit Committee for review and approval.
xiv. Ensure that the NDUS Internal Audit conforms with the Institute of Internal
Audit Standards, with the following qualifications:
1. If the NDUS Internal Audit is prohibited by law or regulation from
conformance with certain parts of the Institute of Internal Audit
Standards, the CAE will ensure appropriate disclosures and will ensure
conformance with all other parts of the Standards.
2. If the Institute of Internal Audit Standards are used in conjunction with
requirements issued by other authoritative bodies, the CAE shall
ensure that the NDUS Internal Audit conforms with the Institute of
Internal Audit Standards, even if the NDUS Internal Audit also
conforms with the more restrictive requirements of other authoritative
bodies.

c. The majority of audits are planned, however that does not preclude Internal Audit from
conducting unplanned audits, following the same standards of engagement and reporting
as planned audits. As unplanned projects are required, they are included in the overall
plan for the year. Any significant deviation from the approved internal audit plan will be
communicated to the Chancellor, institutional chief executive officers, the Board, and
Audit Committee through periodic activity reports.

At least annually, the CAE will submit to the Chancellor, institutional chief executive officers, and
the Board an internal audit plan for review and approval. The internal audit plan will consist of a
work schedule as well as budget and resource requirements for the next fiscal year. The CAE will communicate the impact of resource limitations and significant interim changes to the Chancellor, institutional chief executive officers, the Board, and Audit Committee.

The internal audit plan will be developed based on a prioritization of the audit universe using a risk-based methodology, including input of the Chancellor, institutional chief executive officers, and the Board. The CAE will review and adjust the plan, as necessary, in response to changes in the organization’s business, risks, operations, programs, systems, and controls.

7. REPORTING AND MONITORING:
   a. A written report will be prepared and issued by the CAE, designee, or institutional internal audit staff or designee following the conclusion of each internal audit engagement and will be provided to the Chancellor and appropriate institutional chief executive officer(s).
   b. Internal audit reports issued by any institutional internal audit activity will be submitted to the CAE in a timely manner.
   c. Internal audit results will also be communicated to the Board and Audit Committee.
   d. The internal audit report may include management’s response and corrective action taken or to be taken in regard to the specific findings and recommendations. Management’s response, whether included within the original audit report or provided thereafter (i.e. within thirty days) by management of the audited area should include a timetable for anticipated completion of action to be taken and an explanation for any corrective action that will not be implemented.
   e. The internal audit activity will be responsible for appropriate follow-up on engagement findings and recommendations. All significant findings will remain in an open issues file until cleared.
   f. The CAE will periodically report to the Chancellor, institutional chief executive officers, the Board, and Audit Committee on the internal audit activity’s purpose, authority, and responsibility, as well as performance relative to its plan. Reporting will also include significant risk exposures and control issues, including fraud risks, governance issues, and other matters needed or requested by the Chancellor, institutional chief executive officers, the Board, and Audit Committee.

8. Quality Assurance and Improvement program QUALITY ASSURANCE AND IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM:
   a. The NDUS internal audit activity will maintain a quality assurance and improvement program that covers all aspects of the internal audit activity. The program will include an evaluation of the internal audit activity’s conformance with the Definition of Internal Auditing and the Standards and an evaluation of whether internal auditors apply the Code
of Ethics. The program also assesses the efficiency and effectiveness of the internal audit activity and identifies opportunities for improvement.

b. The CAE will communicate to the Chancellor, institutional chief executive officers, the Board, and Audit Committee and the Board on the internal audit activity’s quality assurance and improvement program, including results of ongoing internal assessments and external assessments conducted at least every five years.

A. **North Dakota University System Internal Audit Charter.** This Charter applies to the North Dakota University System Internal Audit.

1. **Introduction:**
   Internal Auditing is an independent and objective assurance and consulting activity that is guided by a philosophy of adding value to improve the operations of the North Dakota University System (NDUS). It assists NDUS in accomplishing its objectives by bringing a systematic and disciplined approach to evaluate and improve the effectiveness of the organization's governance, risk management, and internal control.

   The internal audit activity is established by the State Board of Higher Education (Board). The internal audit activity’s responsibilities are defined by the Board and the Audit Committee as part of their oversight role.

2. **Standards for the Professional Practice of Internal Auditing:**
   a. The internal audit activity will govern itself by adherence to The Institute of Internal Auditors' mandatory guidance including the Definition of Internal Auditing, the Code of Ethics, and the International Standards for the Professional Practice of Internal Auditing (Standards). This mandatory guidance constitutes principles of the fundamental requirements for the professional practice of internal auditing and for evaluating the effectiveness of the internal audit activity’s performance.
   b. The Institute of Internal Auditors' Practice Advisories, Practice Guides, and Position Papers will also be adhered to as applicable to guide operations. In addition, the internal audit activity will adhere to relevant Board policies and procedures and the internal audit activity's standard operating procedures manual.

3. **Organization:**
   a. The internal audit activity of NDUS will consist of the NDUS chief audit executive (CAE) and any internal audit staff or consultants hired by the system office.
   b. The NDUS Internal Audit CAE will report functionally to the Audit Committee and administratively (i.e. day to day operations) to the NDUS Chancellor.
   c. NDSU and UND directly employ their own internal audit staff. The NDSU and UND internal auditors follow the North Dakota Institutions' internal audit charter.

4. **Authority:**
The internal audit activity, with strict accountability for confidentiality and safeguarding records and information, is authorized full, free, and unrestricted access to any and all NDUS (and affiliated organizations) records, physical properties, and personnel pertinent to carrying out any engagement. All NDUS (and affiliated organizations) employees are requested to assist the internal audit activity in fulfilling its roles and responsibilities. The internal audit activity will also have free and unrestricted access to the Board and Audit Committee.

a. The Audit Committee shall:
   i. Approve the internal audit charter.
   ii. Approve the risk based internal audit plan.
   iii. Approve the internal audit budget and resource plan for the CAE.
   iv. Receive communications from the CAE on the NDUS internal audit staff’s performance relative to its plan and other matters.
   v. Approve decisions regarding the appointment and removal of the CAE.
   vi. Approve the remuneration of the CAE.
   vii. Make appropriate inquiries of management and the CAE to determine whether there is inappropriate scope or resource limitations.
   viii. Make recommendations to the SBHE to take actions based on reports received from the CAE; and
   ix. Review disputes regarding investigations, reports, or recommendations issued by the CAE.

b. The CAE will communicate and interact directly with the Board and Audit Committee, including in executive sessions and between Board meetings as appropriate.

5. Independence and Objectivity:
   a. The CAE shall ensure that the internal audit activity will remain free from all conditions that threaten the ability of internal auditors to carry out their responsibilities in an independent and unbiased manner, including matters of audit selection, scope, procedures, frequency, timing, and report content. If the CAE determines that independence or objectivity may be impaired in fact or appearance, the details of any such impairment will be disclosed to the Chancellor and the Audit Committee.
   b. The NDUS Internal Audit shall maintain an unbiased mental attitude that allows them to perform engagements objectively and in such a manner that they believe in their work product, that no quality compromises are made, and that they do not subordinate their judgment on audit matters to others.
   c. The NDUS internal auditors will have no direct operational responsibility or authority over any of the activities audited. Accordingly, they will not implement internal controls, develop procedures, install systems, prepare records, or engage in any other activity that may impair their judgement, including:
      i. Assessing specific operations for which they had responsibility within the previous year;
      ii. Performing any operational duties for the SBHE, the NDUS, or the institutions;
      iii. Initiating or approving transactions external to the NDUS internal audit activity; or
iv. Directing the activities of any NDUS or institutional employee not employed by
the NDUS Internal Audit, except to the extent that such employees have been
appropriately assigned to auditing teams or to otherwise assist internal auditors.

d. If the CAE is assigned duties or responsibilities that fall outside of this Charter,
safeguards will be established to avoid potential impairment to independence or
objectivity.

e. NDUS Internal auditors shall:
   i. Disclose any actual or apparent impairment of independence or objectivity to
      the appropriate party.
   ii. Exhibit professional objectivity in gathering, evaluating, and communicating
       information about the activity or process being examined.
   iii. Make balanced assessments of all available and relevant facts and
        circumstances.
   iv. Take necessary precautions to avoid being unduly influenced by personal
       interests or by others in forming judgments.

f. The CAE shall disclose to the Audit Committee any interference and related implications
   in determining the scope of internal auditing, performing work, and/or communicating
   results.

g. The CAE will confirm to the Board, at least annually, the organizational independence of
   the internal audit activity.

6. Scope of Internal Audit Authority:
   a. **Assurance Services.** The scope of internal auditing encompasses, but is not limited to, the
      examination and evaluation of evidence for the purpose of providing independent
      assessments to the SBHE and management on the adequacy and effectiveness of the
      organization's governance, risk management, and control processes for NDUS. This
      includes evaluating whether:
         i. Risk relating to achievement of the organization's strategic objectives are
            appropriately identified and managed;
         ii. Whether the actions of SBHE and NDUS officers, directors, employees, and
             contractors are in compliance with SBHE policies, NDUS procedures, and
             applicable laws, regulations, and governance standards;
         iii. Whether the results of operations or programs are consistent with established
             goals and objectives;
         iv. The adequacy and effectiveness of the organization's governance, risk
             management, and internal controls, as well as the quality of performance in
             carrying out assigned responsibilities to achieve the organization’s stated goals
             and objectives.
         v. Whether operations or programs are being carried out effectively and
            efficiently.
         vi. The processes and systems that enable compliance with policies, procedures,
             laws, and regulations;
         vii. Whether information and the means used to identify, measure, analyze, classify,
             and report such information are reliable and have integrity.
viii. Whether resources and assets are acquired economically, used efficiently, and protected adequately.

b. The CAE shall report periodically to the NDUS Chancellor and the Audit Committee regarding:
   i. NDUS Internal Audit’s purpose, authority, and responsibility;
   ii. NDUS Internal Audit’s audit plan and performance relative to the audit plan;
   iii. NDUS Internal Audit’s conformance with The Institute of Internal Audit’s Code of Ethics and Standards, and action plans to address any significant conformance issues.
   iv. Significant risk exposures and control issues, including fraud risks, governance issues, and other matters requiring the attention of, or requested by, the SBHE.
   v. Results of audit engagements or other activities;
   vi. Resource requirements; or
   vii. Any response to risk by management that may be high risk.

c. The CAE shall coordinate the activities of and may rely on the work of other internal and external assurance and consulting service providers as engaged on consultation with the SBHE.

d. The NDUS Internal Audit may identify opportunities to improve the efficiency of governance, risk management, and control processes during audit engagements. To the extent appropriate, such opportunities shall be communicated to the appropriate level of management.

e. Consulting Services: NDUS Internal Audit provides consulting services in an advisory capacity, and are generally performed at the specific request of the Chancellor or NDUS institutional chief executive officers. The nature and scope of the consulting engagement are subject to agreement with management. Consulting may range from formal engagements with defined scopes and objectives to advisory activities such as providing informal guidance in response to general inquiries. When performing consulting services the internal auditor should maintain objectivity and not assume management responsibility.

7. Responsibility:
   a. The NDUS internal audit staff is responsible for conducting system-wide audits, including the NDUS system office and NDUS Core Technology Services (CTS). It will also conduct internal audits and consulting services for institutions that do not directly employ internal audit staff. The two research institutions, UND and NDSU, shall directly employ their own internal audit staff. Those auditors shall have primary responsibility for conducting audit activities on their employing campus.
   b. The CAE has the responsibility to:
      i. Submit, at least annually, to the Chancellor and the Audit Committee a risk-based internal audit plan for review and approval;
      ii. Communicate to the Chancellor and Audit Committee the impact of resource limitations on the internal audit plan;
iii. Review and adjust the internal audit plan, in consultation with the Audit Committee as necessary, in response to changes in NDUS’s business, risks, operations, programs, systems, and controls;

iv. Communicate to the Chancellor and the Audit Committee any significant interim changes to the internal audit plan;

v. Ensure that each engagement of the internal audit plan is executed, including: the establishment of objectives and scope, the assignment of appropriate and adequately supervised resources, the documentation of work programs and testing results, and the communication of engagement results with applicable conclusions and recommendations to appropriate parties.

vi. Follow up on engagement findings and corrective actions, and reports periodically to the Chancellor and the Audit Committee any corrective actions or recommendations not effectively implemented;

vii. Ensure the NDUS Internal Audit applies and upholds the principles of integrity, objectivity, confidentiality, and competency;

viii. Maintain a professional audit staff that collectively possesses or obtains the knowledge, skills, and other competencies needed to meet the requirements of the this Charter;

ix. Remain current on trends and emerging issues that could impact NDUS and communicate the same to the Chancellor and Audit Committee as appropriate;

x. Consider adopting emerging trends and successful practices in internal auditing;

xi. Establish and ensure adherence to SBHE policies and NDUS procedures designed to guide the NDUS Internal Audit;

xii. Ensure that the NDUS Internal Audit adheres to relevant SBHE policies and NDUS procedures. Any conflicts between this Charter and SBHE policies and NDUS procedures shall be resolved in consultation with the Audit Committee and the Chancellor;

xiii. Review and update the NDUS Internal Audit Charter at least every three years, or more if changes are warranted, and provide the updated Internal Audit Charter to the Audit Committee for review and approval.

xiv. Ensure that the NDUS Internal Audit conforms with the Institute of Internal Audit Standards, with the following qualifications:

1. If the NDUS Internal Audit is prohibited by law or regulation from conformance with certain parts of the Institute of Internal Audit Standards, the CAE will ensure appropriate disclosures and will ensure conformance with all other parts of the Standards.

2. If the Institute of Internal Audit Standards are used in conjunction with requirements issued by other authoritative bodies, the CAE shall ensure that the NDUS Internal Audit conforms with the Institute of Internal Audit Standards, even if the NDUS Internal Audit also conforms with the more restrictive requirements of other authoritative bodies.

c. The majority of audits are planned, however that does not preclude Internal Audit from conducting unplanned audits, following the same standards of engagement and reporting as planned audits. As unplanned projects are required, they are included in the overall plan for the year. Any significant deviation from the approved internal audit plan will be
communicated to the Chancellor, institutional chief executive officers, the Board, and Audit Committee through periodic activity reports.

8. Quality Assurance and Improvement program:
   a. The NDUS internal audit activity will maintain a quality assurance and improvement program that covers all aspects of the internal audit activity. The program will include an evaluation of the internal audit activity’s conformance with the Definition of Internal Auditing and the Standards and an evaluation of whether internal auditors apply the Code of Ethics. The program also assesses the efficiency and effectiveness of the internal audit activity and identifies opportunities for improvement.
   b. The CAE will communicate to the Chancellor, institutional chief executive officers, Audit Committee and the Board on the internal audit activity’s quality assurance and improvement program, including results of ongoing internal assessments and external assessments conducted at least every five years.

**B. North Dakota Institutions’ Internal Audit Charter**

This Charter applies to North Dakota Institutions that employ internal auditors.

**PURPOSE**

1. The North Dakota Institutions’ (Institutions) internal auditing function is an independent and objective assurance and consulting activity designed to add value and improve the operations of the North Dakota Institutions. It helps the Institutions accomplish their objectives by bringing a systematic, disciplined approach to evaluate and improve the effectiveness of governance, risk management, and control processes.

**ROLE AND OBLIGATION**

2. The Institutions’ internal audit activity is established by the State Board of Higher Education.

**PROFESSIONALISM**

3. The Institutions’ internal audit activity will govern itself by adherence to The Institute of Internal Auditors’ mandatory guidance including the Definition of Internal Auditing, the Code of Ethics, and the Core Principals and International Standards for the Professional Practice of Internal Auditing, and to the recommended guidance including the Practice Guides and Implementation Guidance.

**AUTHORITY**

4. The Institutions’ internal auditors, with strict accountability for confidentiality and safeguarding records and information, have full, free, and unrestricted access to all Institutions’ records, physical properties, and personnel pertinent to carrying out any engagement. Departments or activities under review are expected to render every possible assistance that will facilitate the progress of the audit. The Institutions’ internal audit activity will also have free and unrestricted access to the Audit Committee.

**ORGANIZATION**

a. Internal audit staff at the North Dakota Institutions will report functionally and administratively to the Institutions’ chief executive officers and indirectly to the Audit Committee.

b. The Audit Committee will:
i. Review and approve the internal audit charter.
ii. Have the final approval on the removal of the Institutions’ internal auditors.
iii. Review the risk-based internal audit plans developed by the internal audit staff of the Institutions.
iv. Receive communications from the Institutions’ chief executive officers on the internal audit staffs’ performance relative to their plans and other matters.

c. The Institutions’ internal auditors and their chief executives will interact, as requested, with the Audit Committee.

6. INDEPENDENCE AND OBJECTIVITY: Independence and Objectivity:

   a. The Institutions’ internal audit activity will remain free from interference by any element in the organization, including matters of audit selection, scope, procedures, frequency, timing, or report content to permit maintenance of a necessary independent and objective mental attitude.

   b. The Institutions’ internal auditors will have no direct operational responsibility or authority over any of the activities audited. Accordingly, they will not implement internal controls, develop procedures, install systems, prepare records, or engage in any other activity that would normally be subject to review. However, the Institutions’ internal auditors may be consulted when new systems or procedures are designed to ensure they adequately address internal controls.

   c. The Institutions’ internal auditors will exhibit the highest level of professional objectivity in gathering, evaluating, and communicating information about the activity or process being examined. The Institutions’ internal auditors will make a balanced assessment of all the relevant circumstances and not be unduly influenced by their own interests or by others in forming judgments.

7. RESPONSIBILITY: Responsibility:

   a. Evaluating risk exposure relating to achievement of the organization’s strategic objectives.

   b. Evaluating the reliability and integrity of information and the means used to identify, measure, classify, and report such information.

   c. Evaluating the systems established to ensure compliance with those policies, plans, procedures, laws, and regulations, which could have a significant impact on the organization.
d. Evaluating the means of safeguarding assets and, as appropriate, verifying the existence of such assets.

e. Evaluating the effectiveness and efficiency with which resources are employed.

f. Evaluating operations or programs to ascertain whether results are consistent with established objectives and goals and whether the operations or programs are being carried out as planned.

g. Follow-up, as needed, on the implementation of planned corrective action by auditees on issued internal audit recommendations.

h. Monitoring and evaluating governance processes.

i. Monitoring and evaluating the effectiveness of the organization's risk management processes.

j. Performing consulting and advisory services related to governance, risk management and internal control as appropriate for the organization.

k. Reporting periodically to the Institutions’ chief executive officers on the internal audit activity’s purpose, authority, responsibility, and performance relative to its plan.

l. Reporting significant risk exposures and control issues, including fraud risks, governance issues, and other matters needed or requested by the Institutions’ chief executive officers.

m. Evaluating specific operations at the request of the Institutions’ chief executive officers, as appropriate.

8. **INTERNAL AUDIT PLAN**

a. At least annually, the internal auditors for the Institutions will prepare their campus internal audit plans for approval by their Institutions’ chief executives who will provide informational copies to the Audit Committee Chair and the CAE.

b. The Institutions’ internal audit plan will be developed based on a prioritization of the audit universe using a risk-based methodology, including input of the Institutions’ chief executive officers. The Institutions’ internal auditors will review and adjust the plan, as necessary, in response to changes in the organization’s business, risks, operations, programs, systems, and controls. The chief executive officers will provide adjusted plans to the Audit Committee Chair. The chief executive officers will receive feedback from the Audit Committee Chair and will work with their internal auditors to review and adjust the plan as necessary.

c. The majority of audits are planned, however that does not preclude Institutions’ internal auditors from conducting unplanned audits, following the same standards of
engagement and reporting as planned audits. As unplanned projects are required, they are included in the overall plan for the year. Any significant deviation from the approved internal audit plan will be communicated to the Audit Committee by the Institutions’ chief executive officers through periodic activity reports.

9. **REPORTING AND MONITORING**

   **Reporting and Monitoring**

   a. A written report will be prepared and issued by the Institutions’ internal audit staff following the conclusion of each internal audit engagement and will be provided to their Institutions’ chief executive officers.

   b. Internal audit reports issued by the Institutions’ internal auditors will be provided to the CAE and Audit Committee.

   c. The Institutions’ internal audit reports may include management’s response and corrective action taken or to be taken in regard to the specific findings and recommendations. Management's response, whether included within the original audit report or provided thereafter (i.e. within thirty days) by management of the audited area should include a timetable for anticipated completion of action to be taken and an explanation for any corrective action that will not be implemented.

   d. The Institutions’ internal auditors will be responsible for appropriate follow-up on engagement findings and recommendations. Audit follow-up reports will be provided to the CAE and Audit Committee. All significant findings will remain in an open issues file until cleared.

   e. Reporting will also include significant risk exposures and control issues, including fraud risks, governance issues, and other matters needed or requested by the Institutions’ chief executive officers or the Audit Committee.